

MELODY A. KRAMER, SBN 169984
KRAMER LAW OFFICE, INC.
9930 Mesa Rim Road, Suite 1600
San Diego, California 92121
Telephone (858) 362-3150
mak@kramerlawip.com

J. MICHAEL KALER, SBN 158296
KALER LAW OFFICES
9930 Mesa Rim Road, Suite 200
San Diego, California 92121
Telephone (858) 362-3151
michael@kalerlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff JENS ERIK SORENSEN,
as Trustee of SORENSEN RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT TRUST

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as Trustee of)	Case No. 08cv136 BTM CAB
SORENSEN RESEARCH AND)	
DEVELOPMENT TRUST,)	SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF
)	RELATED CASES
Plaintiff)	
v.)	
)	
MOTOROLA, INC., a Delaware)	
Corporation; and DOES 1 – 100,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
_____)	
and related counterclaims.)	
_____)	

//

1 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 40.1(e), Plaintiff JENS E. SORENSEN, as
2 TRUSTEE OF THE SORENSEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TRUST
3 (“SRDT”), hereby gives SECOND AMENDED notice of cases related to the present
4 case. Specifically, SRD TRUST gives notice of the following related cases that all
5 arise from alleged infringement of United States Patent Number 4,935,184 (“the ‘184
6 patent”), whether pending, dismissed, or otherwise terminated:

7
8 **JUDGMENT ENTERED**

9 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
10 *Trust v. First International Digital, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:07-cv-5525-JSW, filed
11 October 30, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of
12 California. Judgment of \$500,000.00 has been entered.

13
14 **PENDING – NOT STAYED**

15 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
16 *Trust v. Phillips Plastics Corp.*, filed June 26, 2008 in the United States District
17 Court for the District of Northern California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the
18 ‘184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation is not recommended at this time.

19 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
20 *Trust v. Human Touch LLC, et al*, Case No. 08cv1080, filed June 18, 2008 in the
21 United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff alleges
22 infringement of the ‘184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation is not yet
23 recommended because it has just been filed.

24 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
25 *Trust v. Lexar Media, Inc.*, Case No. 5:08-cv-00095-JW, filed January 7, 2008 in the
26 United States District Court for the District of Northern California. Plaintiff alleges
27 infringement of the ‘184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation is not recommended
28 because a requested stay was already denied and this case is proceeding to be

1 litigated.

2 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
3 *Trust v. Johnson Level & Tool Mfg. Co., Inc.*, Case No. 3:08-cv-0025-BTM-CAB,
4 filed January 4, 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Southern
5 California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants.
6 Consolidation is not recommended because Defendant is in default and a partial
7 settlement has already been reached.

8 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
9 *Trust v. Ampro Tools Corporation*, Case No. 4:08-cv-00096-CW, filed January 7,
10 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Northern California.
11 Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation is not
12 recommended because there has already been an entry of default and a default
13 judgment motion is being prepared.

14 *PowerStation LLC, et al v. Sorensen Research and Development Trust*, Case
15 No. 07-cv-04167-RBH, filed December 31, 2007 in the United States District Court
16 for the District of South Carolina. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment that the '184
17 patent is invalid and not infringed by them, and also makes an unfair trade practices
18 claim. Consolidation is not recommended yet because a Rule 12 motion for transfer
19 for lack of personal jurisdiction is currently pending.

20 *Big Lots Stores, Inc. v. Sorensen Research and Development Trust*, Case. No.
21 08cv00506, filed May 23, 2008 in the United States District Court for the Southern
22 District of Ohio. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment that the '184 patent is invalid
23 and not infringed by them. Consolidation is not recommended yet because no
24 summons and complaint have been served, and this case will be the subject of a Rule
25 12 motion for transfer for lack of personal jurisdiction.

26 *Freshlink Product Development, L.L.C. v. Sorensen Research & Development*
27 *Trust*, Case. No. 08cv05021, filed May 30, 2008 in the USDC for the Southern
28 District of New York. Consolidation is not recommended yet because no summons

1 and complaint have been served, and this case will be the subject of a Rule 12
2 motion for transfer for lack of personal jurisdiction.

3 *American Safety Razor Co. v. Sorensen Research & Development Trust*, Civil
4 Case No. 07-CV-00730-HHK, filed April 20, 2007, in the United States District
5 Court for the District of Columbia. Consolidation is not recommended at this time
6 because no summons and complaint has been served and because there is no personal
7 jurisdiction over Sorensen in this court.

8 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
9 *Trust v. DMS Holdings, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 08cv559, filed March 25, 2008 in the
10 United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff alleges
11 infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most other cases
12 in the District of Southern California was recommended (though this case involves
13 different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a preference
14 for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

15 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
16 *Trust v. Metabo Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 08cv304, filed February 15, 2008 in
17 the United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff
18 alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most
19 other cases in the District of Southern California was recommended (though this case
20 involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a
21 preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

22 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
23 *Trust v. Star Asia, U.S.A., LLC, et al.*, Case No. 08cv307, filed February 15, 2008 in
24 the United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff
25 alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most
26 other cases in the District of Southern California was recommended (though this case
27 involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a
28 preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

1 infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most other cases
2 in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this case involves
3 different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a preference
4 for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

5 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
6 *Trust v. Rally Manufacturing, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 08cv302, filed February 15, 2008
7 in the United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff
8 alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most
9 other cases in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this case
10 involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a
11 preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

12 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
13 *Trust v. Sunbeam Products, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 08cv306, filed February 15, 2008 in
14 the United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff
15 alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most
16 other cases in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this case
17 involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a
18 preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

19 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
20 *Trust v. CTT Tools, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 08cv231, filed February 5, 2008 in the
21 United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff alleges
22 infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most other cases
23 in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this case involves
24 different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a preference
25 for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

26 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
27 *Trust v. Global Machinery Company, et al.*, Case No. 08cv233, filed February 5,
28 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Southern California.

1 Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with
2 most other cases in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this
3 case involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has
4 indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

5 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
6 *Trust v. Emissive Energy Corp., et al.*, Case No. 08cv234, filed February 5, 2008 in
7 the United States District Court for the District of Southern California. Plaintiff
8 alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with most
9 other cases in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this case
10 involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has indicated a
11 preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

12 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
13 *Trust v. Sanyo North America Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 3:08-cv-0135 DMS
14 POR, filed January 23, 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of
15 Southern California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants.
16 Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern California is
17 recommended (though this case involves different parties and products) because the
18 presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction
19 hearing.

20 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
21 *Trust v. Informatics, inc., et al.*, Case No. 3:08-cv-0134-L NLS, filed January 23,
22 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Southern California.
23 Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with
24 most other cases in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this
25 case involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has
26 indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

27 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
28 *Trust v. Ryobi Technologies, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 3:08-cv-0070-BTM-CAB, filed

1 January 11, 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Southern
2 California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants.
3 Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern California is
4 recommended (though this case involves different parties and products) because the
5 presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction
6 hearing.

7 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
8 *Trust v. Senco Products, Inc.*, Case No. 3:08-cv-0071-BTM-CAB, filed January 11,
9 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Southern California.
10 Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants. Consolidation with
11 most other cases in the District of Southern California is recommended (though this
12 case involves different parties and products) because the presiding judge has
13 indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction hearing.

14 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
15 *Trust v. Emerson Electric Co., et al*, Case No. 3:08-cv-0060-BTM-CAB, filed
16 January 10, 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Southern
17 California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants.
18 Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern California is
19 recommended (though this case involves different parties and products) because the
20 presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction
21 hearing.

22 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
23 *Trust v. Energizer Holdings, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:07-CV-2321-BTM-CAB, filed
24 December 11, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of
25 California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants.
26 Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern California is
27 recommended (though this case involves different parties and products) because the
28 presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction

1 hearing.

2 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
3 *Trust v. Giant International, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:07-CV-2121-BTM-CAB, filed
4 November 6, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of
5 California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants.
6 Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern California is
7 recommended (though this case involves different parties and products) because the
8 presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim construction
9 hearing.

10 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of Sorensen Research and Development Trust v.*
11 *Esseplast (USA) NC, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:07-cv-2277-BTM-CAB, filed December
12 4, 2007 in this District. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by
13 Defendants. Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern
14 California is recommended (though this case involves different parties and products)
15 because the presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim
16 construction hearing.

17 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of Sorensen Research and Development Trust v.*
18 *Helen of Troy Texas Corporation, et al*, Case No. 3:07-cv-2278-BTM-CAB, filed
19 December 4, 2007 in this District. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent
20 by Defendants. Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern
21 California is recommended (though this case involves different parties and products)
22 because the presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim
23 construction hearing.

24 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
25 *Trust v. Digital Networks North America, Inc., et al*, Case No. 3:07-5568-JSW, filed
26 November 1, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of
27 California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by Defendants.
28 Consolidation is not recommended because this related case involves different

1 parties and has different, unrelated products at issue.

2 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research and Development*
 3 *Trust v. The Black & Decker Corporation, et al*, Civil Case No.: 3:06-CV-1572-
 4 BTM-CAB, filed August 7, 2006, in the United States District Court for the Southern
 5 District of California. Plaintiff alleges infringement of the '184 patent by
 6 Defendants. Consolidation with most other cases in the District of Southern
 7 California is recommended (though this case involves different parties and products)
 8 because the presiding judge has indicated a preference for a consolidated claim
 9 construction hearing.

11 **SETTLED AND DISMISSED**

12 *Jens Erik Sorensen as Trustee of the Sorensen Research And Development*
 13 *Trust v. Tecnica USA, Inc and Nordica USA, Inc.*, Civil Case No. 06CV1941 B
 14 MLS, filed September 18, 2006 in the Southern District of California. The action
 15 was settled by the parties, and dismissed.

16 *Jens Erik Sorensen As Trustee of The Sorensen Research And Development*
 17 *Trust v. Head USA Inc.* Civil Case No.: 06CV1434BTN CAB, filed July 2006 in
 18 the Federal District for the Southern District of California. The action was settled by
 19 the parties, and dismissed.

20 *Head USA Inc. v. Jens Erik Sorensen (As Trustee of The Sorensen Research*
 21 *And Development Trust), and Sorensen Research And Development Trust.* Docket
 22 No.: 306CV00983, filed June 2006 in the Federal District of Connecticut. The matter
 23 was transferred and consolidated with the *Sorensen Research And Development*
 24 *Trust v. Head USA Inc.* action filed in the Southern District of California, Civil Case
 25 No.: 06CV1434BTM CAB. The action was later settled by the parties, and
 26 dismissed.

27 *Nordica USA Corp. v. Jens Ole Sorensen, Jens Erik Sorensen, and Sorensen*
 28 *Research and Development Trust.* Docket No. 1:06-cv-91-JM filed March 8, 2006 in

1 the Federal District of New Hampshire. The matter was transferred and consolidated
2 with *Jens Erik Sorensen As Trustee of The Sorensen Research And Development*
3 *Trust v. Tecnica USA, Inc and Nordica USA, Inc.*, Civil Case No. 06CV1941 B
4 MLS, filed in the Southern District of California. The action was later settled by the
5 parties.

6 *Jens Ole Sorensen, and Jens E. Sorensen, as Trustee Of The Sorensen*
7 *Research and Development Trust v. DaimlerChrysler AG, and Mercedes-Benz USA,*
8 *LLC.* Civil No. 03-1763 (HAA) filed in the United States District Court for
9 Northern California and transferred to the United States District Court for New
10 Jersey. This matter has been settled and dismissed.

11 *In the Matter of Certain Automobile Tail Light Lenses And Products*
12 *Incorporating Same.* Investigation No. 337-TA-502 filed in the United States
13 International Trade Commission. The matter was remanded after appeal to the
14 Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, then settled and dismissed.

15 *Jens E. Sorensen, as Trustee Of The Sorensen Research and Development*
16 *Trust v. Premier Automotive Group, Ford Motor Company, and Jaguar, Ltd.* Case
17 No. 03-cv-01107, filed March 14, 2003 in the Federal Court for the Northern District
18 of California. The matter was settled and dismissed.

19 *Sorensen et al v. International Trade Commission et al.*, 427 F.3d 1375 (Fed.
20 Cir. 2005). After the Federal Circuit ruled in patentee's favor, the parties settled and
21 dismissed.

22 23 **DISMISSED**

24 *DMS Holdings, Inc. v. Sorensen Research & Development Trust.* Civil Case
25 No.: 07-CV-02690, filed May 11, 2007, in the United States District Court for the
26 Northern District of Illinois. This case has been dismissed. Sorensen refiled against
27 DMS Holdings in the United States District Court for Southern California (see
28 above).

1 *Lowe's Companies, Inc., et al v. Sorensen Research and Development Trust.*
2 Case No. 05-cv-00234 filed July 29, 2005 in the Federal Court for the Western
3 District of North Carolina. The matter was never served and was dismissed.

4 *Digital Innovations LLC v. Sorensen Research and Development Trust.* Case
5 No. 05-cv-06428, filed November 9, 2005 in the Federal Court for the Northern
6 District of Illinois. The matter was never served and was dismissed.

7 *Big Lots v. Sorensen Research and Development Trust.* Case No. 2:06-cv-
8 1089, filed December 29, 2006 in the Federal Court for the Southern District of
9 Ohio. The matter was never served and was dismissed.

10 *Bon-Aire v. Sorensen Research and Development Trust.* Case No. 07-cv-054-
11 S, filed January 2007 in the Federal Court for the District of Idaho. The matter was
12 never served and was dismissed.

13 *Husqvarna Outdoor Products, Inc. v. Sorensen Research and Development*
14 *Trust.* CIV. NO. CV106-160 in the Federal Court for the Southern District of
15 Georgia on October 30, 2006. The matter was never served and was dismissed.

16 DATED this Friday, June 27, 2008.

17
18 JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as Trustee of
19 SORENSEN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
20 TRUST, Plaintiff

21 /s/ Melody A. Kramer

22 Melody A. Kramer, Esq.

23 J. Michael Kaler

24 Attorneys for Plaintiff
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Melody A. Kramer, declare: I am and was at the time of this service working within in the County of San Diego, California. I am over the age of 18 year and not a party to the within action. My business address is the Kramer Law Office, Inc., 9930 Mesa Rim Road, Suite 1600, San Diego, California, 92121.

On Friday, June 27, 2008, I served the following documents:

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

PERSON(S) SERVED	PARTY(IES) SERVED	METHOD OF SERVICE
RACHEL M. CAPOCCIA Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, California 90067 rmcapoccia@hhlaw.com	Motorola, Inc.	Email--Pleadings Filed with the Court via CM/ECF
Erik J. Lobenfeld Hogan & Hartson LLP 875 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 EJlobenfeld@hhlaw.com	Motorola, Inc.	Email--Pleadings Filed with the Court via CM/ECF

☒ (Email--Pleadings Filed with the Court) Pursuant to Local Rules, I electronically filed this document via the CM/ECF system for the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

☐ (U.S. Mail) I mailed a true copy of the foregoing documents to a mail address represented to be the correct mail address for the above noted addressee.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on Friday, June 27, 2008, in San Diego, California.

/s/ Melody A. Kramer

Melody A. Kramer